



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				, ,	
APPLICATION NO.	O. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,145	5 02/27/2002		Yutaka Ozawa	111773	6602
25944	7590	10/04/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & B	ERRIDGE	SHAPIRO, LEONID			
P.O. BOX 19	928				
ALEXANDR		22320	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·				2677	•

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/083,145	OZAWA, YUTAKA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leonid Shapiro	2673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:					

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 7, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al. (US Patent No. 6,771,249 B2) in view of Rader (US Patent No. 5,867, 140).

As to claim 1, Inoue et al. teaches a method of driving a plurality of display elements which are arranged in a matrix (See Fig. 1, item 100), and which constitute a region to make each display element display in the region (See Fig. 6, items 41-60, from Col. 13, Line 163 to Col. 14, Line 4), a gray level that the display element should display through at least one frame period of plurality of frame periods (Col. 10, Lines 33-40), by using a plurality of scanning lines for supplying a scanning signal that selects the display element (See Fig. 1, item 350, from Col. 7, Line 54 to Col. 8, Line 15) and a plurality of data lines for supplying a data signal that specifies the gray level (See Fig. 1, item 250, from Col. 7, Line 54 to Col. 8, Line 15 and Col. 10, Lines 33-40), the method comprising:

a first supplying step of supplying the scanning signals to certain scanning lines of the plurality of scanning lines, the certain scanning lines corresponding to display elements included in a certain part of the region for displaying gray level (See Fig. 7, Y41-60, Col. 14, Lines 5-67), the first step including supplying data signal that

Art Unit: 2673

specifies the gray level to the plurality of data lines corresponding to the display elements included in the certain part of the region (See Fig. 3, items 4002, 4008, Col. 11, Lines 35-39);

a second supplying step of supplying, during the first supplying step, a scanning inhibition signal that prohibits supply of the scanning signal to other scanning lines other than the certain scanning lines, the other scanning lines corresponding to display elements included in another part of the region other than the certain part of the region (See Fig. 7, item PD, Col. 14, Lines 22-27).

Inoue et al. does not disclose a third supplying step of supplying the scanning signal to both the certain scanning lines and other scanning lines, the third step including:

supplying data signal that specifies the gray level to the plurality of data lines corresponding to the display elements included in the certain part of the region, and

supplying a non-display signal that specifies a non-display voltage level to the plurality of data lines corresponding to the display elements included in the other part of the region.

Rader teaches supplying step of supplying the scanning signal to both the certain scanning lines (See Fig. 3, item 305, Col. 2, Lines 22-30) and other scanning lines (See Fig. 3, item 303, Col. 2, Lines 22-30), (See Fig. 4, items 313, 200, Col. 7, Lines 2-7 and Lines 26-61) (applying AC driving to all pixels) the third step including:

supplying data signal that specifies the gray level to the plurality of data lines corresponding to the display elements included in the certain part of the region (See Fig. 3, item 305, Col. 5, Lines 19-27), and

supplying a non-display signal that specifies a non-display voltage level to the plurality of data lines corresponding to the display elements included in the other part of the region (See Fig. 3, item 303, Col. 5, Lines 35-39).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate teaching of Rader into the Inoue et al. method in order to conserve power (See Abstract in the Rader reference).

As to claim 10, Inoue et al. teaches a electronic apparatus (See Fig. 1, item 100) in which, to display a gray level to be displayed (See Fig. 3, Col. 10, Lines 33-38) through at least one frame period of a plurality of frame periods specified by the image data (See Fig. 5, items nth FRAME, (n=1) th FRAME), a plurality of scanning lines for supplying a scanning signals that selects the display elements arranged in a matrix (See Fig. 1, items Y1-Y200, from Col. 7, Line 54 to Col. 8, Line 15) and a plurality of data lines for supplying a data signal that displayed the gray level (See Fig. 1, item X1-X160, from Col. 7, Line 54 to Col. 8, Line 15 and Col. 10, Lines 33-40), the scanning signals selecting the plurality of display elements items (See Fig. 1, item 350) and the data signals specifying gray levels to be displayed by the plurality of the display elements (See Fig. 1, item 250), the electronic apparatus comprising:

a production circuit that produces the image data according to the information inputted from the input circuit (See Fig. 1, item 400); and

Application/Control Number: 10/083,145

Art Unit: 2673

a display circuit that displays the image data produced by production circuit (See Fig. 1, items 250, 350, 500), the display circuit supplying:

the scanning signals to certain scanning lines of the plurality of scanning lines and data line signal, the certain scanning lines corresponding to display elements included in a certain part of the region for displaying gray level (See Fig. 7, Y1-Y200, Col. 14, Lines 5-67), data signal specifying the gray level to the plurality of data lines corresponding to the display elements included in the certain part of the region (See Fig. 3, items 4002, 4008, and Fig. 7, item PD, Col. 11, Lines 35-39);

a scanning inhibition signal that prohibits supply of the scanning signal to other scanning lines other than the certain scanning lines the scanning inhibition signal being supplied concurrently with the scanning signals being supplied to certain scanning lines, the other scanning lines corresponding to display elements included in another part of the region other than the certain part of the region (See Fig. 7, item PD, Col. 14, Lines 22-27).

Inoue et al. does not disclose the scanning signal to both the certain scanning lines and scanning lines to make the gray level undisplayed and data signal that specifies the gray level to the plurality of data lines corresponding to the display elements included in the certain part of the region, and including supplying a non-display signal that specifies a non-display voltage level to the plurality of data lines corresponding to the display elements included in the other part of the region and an input circuit that input information to specify the image data.

Art Unit: 2673

Rader teaches the scanning signal to both the certain scanning lines (See Fig. 3, item 305, Col. 2, Lines 22-30) and scanning lines other than certain scanning lines (See Fig. 3, item 303, Col. 2, Lines 22-30) of the plurality of scanning lines, the other scanning lines corresponding to make the gray level undisplayed (See Fig. 4, items 313, 200, Col. 7, Lines 2-7 and Lines 26-61) and data signal that specifies the gray level to the plurality of data lines corresponding to the display elements included in the certain part of the region (See Fig. 3, item 305, Col. 5, Lines 19-27), and including supplying a non-display signal that specifies a non-display voltage level to the plurality of data lines corresponding to the display elements included in the other part of the region (See Fig. 3, item 303, Col. 5, Lines 35-39) an input circuit that input information to specify the image data (See Fig. 3, item 318).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate teaching of Rader into the Inoue et al. method in order to conserve power (See Abstract in the Rader reference).

As to claims 2-3, 7, Inoue et al. teaches the first supplying step (See Figs. 3, items 4002, 4008 and Fig. 7, item PD, Col. 11, Lines 35-39) and Rader teaches the third supplying step (See Fig. 3, item 305, Col. 5, Lines 19-27) is performed in each or at least once in each of the frame periods.

2. Claims 4-6, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al. and Rader as applied to claims 3 and 7 above, and further in view of Wani et al. (US Patent No. 6,236,380 B1).

As to claim 4, Inoue et al. and Rader do not show each of the frame periods has a plurality of subfield periods each used for performance of one of the first and third supplying steps.

Wani et al. teaches each of the frames periods has a plurality of subfield periods each used for performance of one of the first and third supplying steps (See Fig. 2, items SCN1-SCN500, Col. 2, Lines 10-16).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate teaching of Wani et al. into Rader and the Inoue et al. system in order to include a whole scanning and a partial scanning subfield (See Col. 2, Lines 9-10 in the Wani et al. reference).

As to claims 5-6, Inoue et al. and Rader do not show the third supplying step (third supplying step is equivalent to full scanning) is performed in one subfield of the plurality of subfields included in the plurality of frame periods, and the first supplying step (first supplying step is equivalent to partial scanning) is performed in subfield other the one subfield.

Wani et al. teaches the third supplying step (second supplying step is equivalent to full scanning) is performed in one subfield of the plurality of subfields included in the plurality of frame periods, and the first supplying step (first supplying step is equivalent to partial scanning) is performed in subfield other the one subfield (See Figs. 1-2, Col. 3, Lines 27-38).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate teaching of Wani et al. into Rader and the Inoue et al. system in

order to include a whole scanning and a partial scanning subfield (See Col. 2, Lines 9-10 in the Wani et al. reference).

As to claim 8, Inoue et al. and Rader do not show a period of third supplying step is longer than period of the first supplying step.

Wani et al. teaches a period of third supplying step (second supplying step is equivalent to full scanning) is longer than period of the first supplying step (first supplying step is equivalent to partial scanning) (See Figs. 1-2, Col. 3, Lines 34-48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate teaching of Wani et al. into Rader and the Inoue et al. system in order to include a whole scanning and a partial scanning subfield (See Col. 2, Lines 9-10 in the Wani et al. reference).

3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al., Wani et al. and Rader as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Burgan et al. (US Patent No. 5, 805,121).

Inoue et al., Wani et al. and Rader do not show a step of applying the data signal making the display element undriven to the data line corresponding thereto when supplying the scanning signals to the other scanning lines.

Burgan et al. teaches a step of applying the data signal making the display element undriven to the data line corresponding thereto when supplying the scanning signals to the other scanning lines (See Fig. 4, BP2-FP3, Col. 4, Lines 43-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the step as shown by Burgan et al. in Inoue et al., Wani et al. and Rader apparatus in order to apply an improved and lower power technique for establishing an off or standby mode for pixels in an LCD (See Col. 1, Lines 34-40 in the Burgan et al. reference).

4. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al. and Rader as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, and further in view of Taniguchi (US Patent No. 4,824,212).

Inoue et al. and Rader do not disclose the non-display signal is an undriven voltage so that the display elements display white in a normally white mode or black in a normally black mode.

Taniguchi teaches the non-display signal is an undriven voltage so that the display elements black in a normally black mode (See Fig. 1, item 11a, Col. 5, Lines 44-47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate teaching of Taniguchi into Inoue et al. and Rader apparatus in order to do not display characters in non-display region (See Col. 2, Lines 24-29 in the Taniguchi reference).

## Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's arguments filed 07.18.05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive:

On page 10, 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph of Remarks, Applicant's stated that Inoue fails to teach or suggest a scanning inhibition signal that prohibits the scanning signal to other scanning lines other than certain scanning lines. However, Inoue teaches a scanning inhibition signal that prohibits the scanning signal to other scanning lines other than certain scanning lines (See Fig. 7, item PD, Col. 14, Lines 22-27).

In the same paragraph, Applicant's stated that Inoue clearly states that scanning is performed for all scanning lines, even during partial display (col. 14, lines 5-9 of Inoue), thus teaching away from Applicant's claimed features. However, even shifted pulses are outputted as the transfer signals YS1 to YS200, the voltage level of scanning supplied to the 1<sup>st</sup> to 40<sup>th</sup> and 61<sup>st</sup> to 200<sup>th</sup> scanning lines is changed to the non-selection voltage level (See Col. 7, Lines 17-21).

On the same page, 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph, Applicant's stated that Rader appears to teach all scanning lines being scanned (col. 7, lines 26-31 of Rader), thus also teaching away from Applicant's claimed features. However, Rader, teaches during full scan supply data signal that specifies the gray level to the plurality of data lines corresponding to the display elements included in the certain part of the region (See Fig. 3, item 305, Col. 5, Lines 19-27), and supplying a non-display signal that specifies a non-display voltage level to the plurality of data lines corresponding to the display

Art Unit: 2673

elements included in the other part of the region (See Fig. 3, item 303, Col. 5, Lines 35-39), which is corresponded to third supplying step of claimed invention.

On Page 11, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, Applicants stated that there is no motivation to combine features related to the pay signal timing pulse of Inoue with the partial display field of Rader, nor has the Office Action established sufficient motivation for a *prima facie* case of obviousness. However, Abstract of Rader teaches reduction of power as motivation for combining the references.

## Telephone inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid Shapiro whose telephone number is 571-272-7683. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 571-272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/083,145

Art Unit: 2673

LS 09232005

> VIJAY SHANKAR PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 12